NSC BRIEFING

30 November 1954

SUMMARY OF NIE 10-7-54: COMMUNIST COURSES OF ACTION IN ASIA THROUGH 1957

I. Scope. Estimate analyzes considerations affecting post-Geneva Communist policy, and estimates main lines and specific aspects Communist, particularly Chinese Communist, courses of action.

#### II. General Considerations

- 1. Net effect Geneva and subsequent developments

  has been to advance the Communist position

  in Asia.
- 2. Sino-Soviet Relationship. USSR has preponderant influence in partnership, but main outlines their Asian policy determined jointly by consultation.

  Chinese influence will grow. Effectiveness their alliance not likely be impaired by frictions between them.

- 3. Paramount factor in Communist determination policy in Asia will be their estimate of US actions and reactions.
- 4. Economic Development.
  - a. Current indications, despite serious

    delocation from this years floods are

    that Chinese will achieve 5-Year Plan

    goal of doubling 1952 entput of producers

    goods: Sector of economy
  - b. Agricultural difficulties plus population growth means squeeze but the outlook is that the average per capita caloric intake will only decline 1.5 percent.
  - c. Chinese modern industrial sector growing

    3 times as rapidly as India's and 1.3 times

d. Foreign Trade: 73 percent with Soviet Bloc.

maximum possible increase (in view of consumption requirements and dependance on agricultural exports) assuming all trade restrictions removed would be approximately \$200 to \$300 million.

- III. Present Policy. A variant of familiar hard-soft line.
  - a. New element, evident since death of Stalin,

    MASIA

    is heightened effort convince all of desire

    A

    for "peaceful coexistence." In Asia, this

    desire in fact marked by desire:
    - (1) Lessen dangers US military actions against mainland China
    - (2) Dull vigilance of non-Communist Asia
      while continuing expansion by means
      short of war.
  - b. Within above, are prepared maintain state

- 2a -

IV. Future Policy. Will continue to woo Asia,

while subverting it.

a. Expectation this long-range "peaceful coexistence" policy will with minimum risk result in:

- (1) Realization their present military and economic objectives.
- (2) Eventual elimination US influence from Asia.
- A. De-emphasis on Open Aggression. Except

re Offshore Is. and Vietnam,

Communists probably not initiate new local

actions with identifiable Bloc forces.

B. Nat. China. Chinese Communists will continue committed to "liberation" Nat. territories.

Thus this issue will continue present greatest danger of war in Asia.

a. Will probably not invade Taiwan so long as clearly evident US has means and determination defend.

b. Will continue probing against offshore
islands and will probably attempt seize
some of major ones. This almost certain
if probes encounter no appreciable US
counteraction. (G-2 dissents, believing
Communist decisions rests less on
considerations for US, and more on own
total programs.

C. <u>Indochina</u>.

- a. Vietnam. Will exert every effort gain South thru means short of war.
  - If South greatly strengthens, or if
     July 1956 elections postponed, would

step up subversive and guerrillas, including infiltration VM troops from North, but would probably not openly

invade, at least prior to July 1956.

(But likely to do so then)

- b. Laos. Nature of aggressive action against will be moderated by need for "peace" line, particularly re India, and by possibility US counteraction.
- c. <u>Cambodia</u>. Will be determined by events
  Vietnam and Laos.
- D. Korea. Communist resumption hostilities unlikely.
  - a. If attacked by ROK, would repel, but would invade ROK only if they estimated would not lead to war with US/UN forces.
- E. Japan and India will become increasingly 
  important targets for "coexistence" policies.

- a. Toward Japan, emphasis on inducements to expand economic and diplomatic relations, in effort weaken Japan's ties with West.
- b. Toward India, emphasis on at least superficial respect India's position in South and Southeast Asia, in effort at least preserve India's neutralism.
- F. Indonesia. Their influence has grown considerably
  - since present gov't. took office July 1953.

    Apparally Austron a from China nather than USSA

    a. Will continue present policies expand

    influence thru "legal" means, while attempting

    increase their covert potential.
  - b. Will probably avoid highly agressive tactics lest these provoke domestic counteraction before their own strength great enough.
  - c. However, present strengths and trends such that takeover by subversion or force possible during period estimate.